#### PATENT APPLICATION

# DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY Original Application

As below named inventors, we declare that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in this Declaration, that the information given herein is true, that we believe that we are an original, first and joint inventor of the invention entitled:

#### TWO-LEVEL SERVICE MODEL IN OPERATING SYSTEM PARTITIONS

which is described and claimed in:

<u>X</u>	the attached specification or				
	the specification in application Serial No.	filed			
	The present application is a continuation-in-part of Prior Application Serial No.				
	filed, and may be considered to disc	lose and claim subject mat	ter in addition to		
	that disclosed in the Prior Application, and I hereby	sed in the Prior Application, and I hereby claim the benefit of 35 U.S.C. Section 120.			

that we acknowledge our duty to disclose information in accordance with 37 C.F.R. Section 1.56 and defined on the attached sheet, which is material to the examination of this application, that we do not know and do not believe the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before our invention thereof or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before our invention thereof, or more than one year prior to this application, or in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by us or our legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to this application and that as to applications for patent or inventor's certificate filed by us or my legal representatives or assigns in any country foreign to the United States of America, the earliest filed foreign application(s) filed within twelve months prior to the filing date of this application, if any, are identified below.

### CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX

X	No earlier-filed foreign applications.
	Required information as to foreign applications filed prior to filing date of this application is on page 4
	attached hereto and made a part hereof.

# **POWER OF ATTORNEY:**

11

As named inventors, we hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Name	Registration No.	<u>Name</u>	Registration No.
Anirma R. Gupta Sean P. Lewis Bernice B. Chen Noreen A. Krall Monica Ward Marc D. Foodman Elaine K. Lee Paul D. Sorkin Marilyn E. Glaubensklee Andrew C. Chen Jeffrey L. Myers Arien C. Ferrell of SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC.	38,275 42,798 42,403 39,734 40,696 34,110 41,936 39,039 35,521 43,544 44,252 46,696	Brian D. Hickman Christopher J. Palermo Bobby K. Truong Edward A. Becker Marcel K. Bingham Craig G. Holmes John D. Henkhaus Christian A. Nicholes Christopher J. Brokaw David Lewis Michael J. Meehan Paul A. Durdik Kirk D. Wong Gene I. Su of HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER, LLP	35,894 42,056 37,499 37,777 42,327 44,770 42,656 50,266 45,620 33,101 54,705 37,819 43,284 45,140

Send Correspondence to: Bobby K. Truong Hickman Palermo Truong & Becker, LLP 1600 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125-5106	Telephone: (408) 414-1080 Facsimile: (408) 414-1076 E-mail: btruong@hptb-law.com
---	--

Full name of first inventor: JOHN T. BECK			
Residence: City: San Jose		State: CA	Country: USA
Citizenship: USA			
Mailing Address: 4004 Briarglen Driv	re		
Mailing Address: City: San Jose	State: CA	Zip: 95118	Country: USA

Full name of second inventor: DANIEL B. PRIC	Œ		
Residence: City: Mountain View		State: CA	Country: USA
Citizenship: USA			
Mailing Address: 2065 California St. #47			
Mailing Address: City: Mountain View	State: CA	Zip: 94040	Country: USA
Full name of third inventor: LIANE PRAZA			
Residence: City: Redwood City		State: CA	Country: USA
Citizenship: USA			
Mailing Address: 168 G St.			
Mailing Address: City: Redwood City	State: CA	Zip: 94063	Country: USA
Full name of fourth inventor: OZGUR C. LEON	ARD		
Residence: City: San Mateo		State: CA	Country: USA
Citizenship: USA			54
Mailing Address: 907A East 5th Ave.			
Mailing Address: City: San Mateo	State: CA	Zip: 94402	Country: USA
Full name of fifth inventor: ANDREW G. TUCK	ER		
Residence: City: Menlo Park		State: CA	Country: USA
Citizenship: USA			
Mailing Address: 2607 Alpine Rd.			
Mailing Address: City: Menlo Park	State: CA	Zip: 94025	Country: USA

We further declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code, and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.

Name JOHN T. BECK Signature	Date
John & Bech	2004 - Jan - 15
Name DANIEL B. PRICE Signature	Date
Day R	2009-JAN-15
Name LIANE PRAZA Signature	Date
	2004 Jan. 15
	1 1
Name Signature	Date
OZGUR C. LEONARD	-
_ Con leveral	2004 - Jan - 15
Name Signature	Date
ANDREW G. TUCKER	1 12000
Cell of	1/15/2009

## Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability.

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by Sections 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applications to carefully examine:
  - (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
  - (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record of being made of record in the application, and
  - (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
    - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the application takes in:
      - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
      - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any considerations given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
  - (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent or inventor.